

McLaughlan, Louise

From: Bielich, Alexis
Sent: 11 September 2017 15:34
To: John Russell
Cc: Shah, Simi; Pauline Butcher
Subject: RE: The Camden (Torrington Place to Tavistock Square) (Prescribed Routes, Waiting and Loading Restrictions and Parking Places) Traffic Order [2017]
Attachments: MHC-197-16 Queue Length Survey - All Sites.xlsx; MCC.xlsx

Dear John,

The Camden (Torrington Place to Tavistock Square) (Prescribed Routes, Waiting and Loading Restrictions and Parking Places) Traffic Order [2017]

Thank you for your e-mailed letter of 7th September 2017.

The Council is treating your letter as a formal request for information under the Environmental Information Rules 2004 ("EIR"), and will respond in full at its earliest opportunity.

The purpose of this e-mail is to reply to your request, in the second paragraph of your letter, for copies of the MCC data collected in May 2016. You say that this survey data was requested by Messrs Farrers, your client's solicitors, in its EIR request to the Council of 21st September 2016. We do not accept that this is so, for the following reasons.

Item (1) of Farrers' letter of 21st September 2016 requested:

(1) "Copies of the complete traffic survey data sets undertaken in March and May 2016 which are partly summarised in the Consultation Documents."

The Council's Consultation Document of August 2016, at pages 12 to 15, summarises the "detailed traffic counts" which are referred to under "(4) Motor Traffic" on page 5 of the Document. The tables at pages 12 to 15 summarise only ATC data. In response therefore, the Council sent a complete set of the ATC traffic count data in electronic form to Farrers under cover of its substantive EIR reply of 16th November 2016 (pointing out that the traffic data sets in question were completed in March 2015 and May 2016).

Accordingly, we do not think that the MCC data was requested in September 2016, but rather that your request of 7th September 2017 for this data is a new request.

That said, we are pleased to answer your request by disclosing the MCC data in question, which I attach with this e-mail in 2 spreadsheets. Please note that SYSTRA used the Thursday 12 May 2016 data due to a serious incident on the Tuesday 10 May 2016 making the latter not usable for modelling.

Pending its full response to your letter, the Council reserves its position on all other matters raised in your letter.

Yours sincerely,

Alexis Bielich

Senior Design Engineer
Telephone: 020 7974 8710

<<https://www.facebook.com/LBCamden>> <<https://www.linkedin.com/company/london-borough-of-camden>>
<<https://twitter.com/camdentalking>> <callto:tel:020%207974%208710>

From: John Russell [mailto:JRussell@motion.co.uk]
Sent: 07 September 2017 16:57
To: Bielich, Alexis <Alexis.Bielich@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: Shah, Simi <Simi.Shah@camden.gov.uk>; Pauline Butcher <camdentransportinquiry@outlook.com>
Subject: The Camden (Torrington Place to Tavistock Square) (Prescribed Routes, Waiting and Loading Restrictions and Parking Places) Traffic Order [2017]

Hello Alexis

Many thanks for meeting me on Monday. I look forward to receiving the Linsig technical notes we discussed and in the meantime will provide you with our revised peak hour stop line capacities for comparison as we discussed.

I have set this out in the attached correspondence together with a reminder of the remaining information which we are seeking.

Kind regards

John

John Russell | Technical Director

motion | 84 North Street, Guildford, GU1 4AU

t 01483 531300 | e <mailto:jrussell@motion.co.uk> jrussell@motion.co.uk | w <https://protect-eu.mimecast.com/s/YZ5gBuYkKXFA?domain=motion.co.uk> www.motion.co.uk

<https://protect-eu.mimecast.com/s/K41LBU5zk4CI?domain=linkedin.com> LinkedIn | <https://protect-eu.mimecast.com/s/e4MOBu9LM6FY?domain=twitter.com> Twitter

The information in this email is confidential and is intended solely for the addressee(s). Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorised. If you are not an intended recipient, you must not read, use or disseminate the information contained in this email. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Motion.

