

2012 Air Quality Updating and Screening Assessment for London Borough of Camden

In fulfillment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

April 2012

Local Authority	Katie Watson
Officer	Poppy Lyle
Department	Corporate Sustainability
	London Borough of Camden
Adress	Town Hall Extension
Address	Argyle Street
	WC1H 8EQ
Tolonhono	020 7974 2260
relephone	020 7974 6801
o moil	Katie.watson@camden.gov.uk
e-man	Poppy.lyle@camden.gov.uk
Report	
Reference	USA12/LBC
number	
Date	30.04.2012

## **Executive Summary**

Since the submission of the fourth round of the Updating and Screening Assessment in 2009 LB Camden has continued to comply with the air quality objectives for particulate matter ( $PM_{10}$ ), sulphur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ), ozone and carbon monoxide. Benzene, butadiene and lead concentrations are not monitored in Camden.

Camden continues to fail to meet the long and short term air quality objectives for nitrogen dioxide. The annual mean levels of  $NO_2$  continue to be exceeded at all of our automatic monitoring sites and the vast majority of our nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube sites, and the short term  $NO_2$  objective has been exceeded at 2 of the 4 automatic monitoring stations.

There have been no new local developments, traffic sources or domestic and commercial sources which have had a negative impact on air quality. The Council is carefully monitoring the adoption of CHP and biomass boilers in the borough in order to mitigate potential cumulative impacts on air quality.

As the whole of the borough has been designated an Air Quality Management Area since 2001 there is no need to carry out a Detailed Assessment at this time. We will therefore proceed to the Progress Report 2013.

# Table of contents

1	Intro	oduction	6
	1.1	Description of Local Authority Area	6
	1.2	Purpose of Report	6
	1.3	Air Quality Objectives	6
	1.4	Summary of Previous Review and Assessments	8
2	New	Monitoring Data	9
	2.1	Summary of Monitoring Undertaken	9
	2.1.1	Automatic Monitoring Sites	9
	2.1.2	Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites	11
	2.2	Comparison of Monitoring Results with AQ Objectives	13
	2.2.1	Nitrogen Dioxide	13
	2.2.2	PM <sub>10</sub>	20
	2.2.3	Sulphur Dioxide	22
	2.2.4	Benzene	24
	2.2.5	Other pollutants monitored	24
	2.2.6	Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives	26
3	Roa	d Traffic Sources	. 27
	3.1	Narrow Congested Streets with Residential Properties Close to the Kerb	27
	3.2	Busy Streets Where People May Spend 1-hour or More Close to Traffic	27
	3.3	Roads with a High Flow of Buses and/or HGVs.	27
	3.4	Junctions	27
	3.5	New Roads Constructed or Proposed Since the Last Round of Review and Assessm	ent
		27	
	3.6	Roads with Significantly Changed Traffic Flows	27
	3.7	Bus and Coach Stations	28
4	Othe	er Transport Sources	. 29
	4.1	Airports	29
	4.2	Railways (Diesel and Steam Trains)	29
	4.2.1	Stationary Trains	29
	4.2.2	Moving Trains	29
	4.3	Ports (Shipping)	29
5	Indu	Istrial Sources	. 30
	5.1	Industrial Installations	30
	5.1.1	New or Proposed Installations for which an Air Quality Assessment has been Carried	I
	Out	30	
	5.1.2	Existing Installations where Emissions have Increased Substantially or New Relevan	t
	Exposu	re has been Introduced	30
	5.1.3	New or Significantly Changed Installations with No Previous Air Quality Assessment	30

## London Borough of Camden

	5.2	Major Fuel (Petrol) Storage Depots	30			
	5.3	Petrol Stations	30			
	5.4	Poultry Farms	31			
6	Con	nmercial and Domestic Sources	31			
	6.1	Biomass Combustion – Individual Installations	31			
	6.2	Biomass Combustion – Combined Impacts	31			
	6.3	Domestic Solid-Fuel Burning	31			
7	Fug	itive or Uncontrolled Sources	31			
8	Conclusions and Proposed Actions					
	8.1	Conclusions from New Monitoring Data	32			
	8.2	Conclusions from Assessment of Sources	32			
	8.3	Proposed Actions	32			
9	Ref	erences	33			

## List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
1.1	Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of LAQM in England	7
2.1	Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites	10
2.2	Details of Non- Automatic Monitoring Sites	12
2.3	Results of Automatic Monitoring of Nitrogen Dioxide: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective	14
2.4	Results of Automatic Monitoring for Nitrogen Dioxide: Comparison with 1-hour mean Objective	15
2.5	Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes in 2011	17
2.6	Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes (2007 - 2011)	18
2.7	Results of Automatic Monitoring for $PM_{10}$ : Comparison with annual mean Objective	22
2.8	Results of Automatic Monitoring for $PM_{10}$ : Comparison with 24-hour mean Objective	22
2.9	Annual monitoring SO <sub>2</sub> data	23
2.10	Results of Automatic Monitoring of SO <sub>2</sub> : Comparison with Annual Mean Objective	24
2.11	Annual mean Ozone monitoring data	25
2.12	Annual Carbon Monoxide monitoring data	26

B.1		39
	LB Camden Diffusion Tube Monitoring Site 2011 Full Dataset	

#### List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
2.1	Map of Automatic Monitoring Sites	9
2.2	Map of Non -Automatic Monitoring Sites	11
2.3	Trends in the Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations Measured at LB Camden's Automatic Monitoring Sites	15
2.4	Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites and automatic monitoring sites (2000 – 2011)	20
2.5	Trends in Annual Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Concentrations	21
2.6	Trends in annual SO <sub>2</sub> concentration	24
B.1	Gradko's WASP results summary	36
B.2	Gradko's UKAS Accreditation certificate for analysis of diffusion tubes	37
B.3	Local Bias Adjustment Spreadsheet	38

## Appendices

Appendix A QA/QC Data for LB Camden's Automatic Monitoring Sites

Appendix B QA/QC Data for LB Camden's Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube Sites

# 1 Introduction

## **1.1** Description of Local Authority Area

The London Borough of Camden is an urban area located in central London. It is approximately 22km<sup>2</sup> in size and is situated north of the River Thames. The main sources of air pollution are road transport, in particular heavy goods vehicle (HGV) and buses, and gas boilers with lesser contributions from diesel trains and small industrial processes. A large proportion of emissions which contribute to poor air quality arise from sources outside of Camden including the heavily trafficked road network surrounding the borough, and from sources much further afield including continental Europe. The south of the borough experiences the highest volumes of traffic and congestion and most intense levels of development. The north of the borough, in contrast, is generally less congested, and there are more open spaces and parks, of which particular areas have been designated as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). Air pollution in these open areas is generally lower. However a number of busy roads which dissect through the north of the borough are associated with high levels of traffic, especially HGVs, and therefore experience elevated air pollution levels.

## **1.2 Purpose of Report**

This report fulfils the requirements of the Local Air Quality Management process as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995), the Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland 2007 and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents. The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where exceedences are considered likely, the local authority must then declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives.

The objective of this Updating and Screening Assessment is to identify any matters that have changed which may lead to risk of an air quality objective being exceeded. A checklist approach and screening tools are used to identify significant new sources or changes and whether there is a need for a Detailed Assessment. The USA report should provide an update of any outstanding information requested previously in Review and Assessment reports.

## 1.3 Air Quality Objectives

The air quality objectives applicable to LAQM **in England** are set out in the Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000 (SI 928), The Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002 (SI 3043), and are shown in Table 1.1. This table shows the objectives in units of microgrammes per cubic metre  $\mu g/m^3$  (milligrammes per cubic metre, mg<sup>/m<sup>3</sup></sup> for carbon monoxide) with the number of exceedences in each year that are permitted (where applicable).

Table 1.1 Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of	Эf
LAQM in England	

	Air Quality	Date to be	
Pollutant	Concentration	Measured as	achieved by
Bonzono	16.25 μg/m³	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Delizelle	5.00 <i>µ</i> g/m <sup>3</sup>	Running annual mean	31.12.2010
1,3-Butadiene	2.25 <i>µ</i> g/m <sup>3</sup>	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Carbon monoxide	10.0 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Running 8-hour mean	31.12.2003
	0.5 <i>µ</i> g/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean	31.12.2004
Lead	0.25 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean	31.12.2008
Nitrogen dioxide	200 μg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2005
	40 <i>µ</i> g/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean	31.12.2005
Particles (PM <sub>10</sub> ) (gravimetric)	50 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2004
	40 <i>µ</i> g/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean	31.12.2004
	350 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2004
Sulphur dioxide	125 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2004
	266 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean	31.12.2005

### **1.4** Summary of Previous Review and Assessments

Between 1998 and 2001, the London Borough of Camden undertook its first round of review and assessment of air quality (the 'First Round'), including Stages 1, 2 and 3, which concluded that it was necessary to declare the whole borough as an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) for the long term objective for nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and the short and long term objectives for particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>).

The second, third and fourth rounds of review and assessment, the Updating and Screening Assessment (USA), were completed in August 2003, 2006 and 2009. Each assessment provided an update with respect to air quality issues within the London Borough of Camden. The second, third and fourth rounds concluded that no 'Detailed Assessment' was required for Camden with respect to air quality.

The fourth round identified that Camden no longer exceeded the short and long term objectives for PM<sub>10</sub> at our three automatic monitoring sites. This was attributed to a change in the method used to measure PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations rather than improvements in emissions. The fourth round of review and assessment additionally indicated that a number of diffusion tube sites and one automatic site at roadside locations exceeded the short term NO<sub>2</sub> objective. Further modelling work has been carried out to understand the spatial distribution of long and short term PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> exceedences across the borough. Modelling work undertaken in 2009 revealed that a number of roads in Camden which experience high volumes of traffic and a large proportion of HGV vehicles exceed the short and long term PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> objectives.

# 2 New Monitoring Data

## 2.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

#### 2.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

LB Camden currently operates four automatic monitoring sites. Details of these sites are presented in Table 2.1 below and the locations of these sites are outlined in Figure 2.1 below.

On the 29<sup>th</sup> January 2011, LB Camden installed a new automatic monitoring site on the Euston Road, opposite Euston station. This site currently monitors  $NO_2$  only. The Council felt that is was important to install an automatic monitoring station in this location as monitoring provided by diffusion tubes over several years has indicated that the concentrations of  $NO_2$  in this area are extremely. The information from this site will be used to provide live air quality updates which will be disseminated to the public via an LED sign which will be installed on the Camden Town Hall Extension, which is situated on Euston Road, in June 2012.

Details of the QA/QC for each of the monitoring sites can be found in Appendix A.



#### Figure 2.1 Map of Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site Name	Site Type	X OS GridRef	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Monitoring Technique	Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) to relevant exposure)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable)	Does this location represent worst- case exposure?
London Bloomsbury	Urban background	X 530120	Y 182034	NO2, PM10, PM2.5, SO2, CO, O3	Y	FDMS	Y (40m)	27m	Y
Swiss Cottage	Kerbside	X 526633	Y 184392	NO2, PM10, PM2.5,	Y	FDMS	Y (7m)	3m	Y
Shaftesbury Avenue	Roadside	X 530060	Y 181290	NO2, PM10,	Y	TEOM	Y (1m)	<1m	Y
Euston Road	Roadside	X 529878	Y 182648	NO2	Y	N/A	Y (1m)	0.5m	Y

## Table 2.1 Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites

#### 2.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Diffusion tubes are deployed across the borough to monitor nitrogen dioxide concentrations. At the end of 2010 LB Camden monitored  $NO_2$  at 26 sites using diffusion tubes, however in January 2011 this was reduced to sixteen sites, in order to reduce costs. The sixteen remaining sites were chosen as they were considered the most important sites for monitoring purposes. The location of these sites can be seen in Figure 2.2. Details of all of the non-automatic monitoring sites are presented in Table 2.2.

The diffusion tube results have been bias corrected on the basis of triplicate tubes co-located with a chemi-luminescent analyser at Swiss Cottage. The bias adjustment factor was calculated in accordance with the methodology stated in TG09 using the Local Bias Adjustment Tool. The calculations can be found in Appendix B.

Gradko Environmental supplies, prepares (50% TEA and acetone method) and analyses Camden's diffusion tubes. This laboratory participates in the UK National Diffusion Tube Network and the Workplace Analysis Scheme for Efficiency. Gradko currently holds UKAS accreditation for analysis of diffusion tubes and participates in the Health and Safety Laboratory's Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency (WASP). Certificates of these accreditations are included in Appendix B along with details of the QA/QC for the diffusion tubes.



#### Figure 2.2 Map of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

#### Table 2.2 Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

							Is monitoring	Relevant	Distance	
							collocated	Exposure?	to kerb of	Does this
							with a	(Y/N with	nearest	location
			X OS	Y OS		In	Continuous	distance (m)	road	represent
			Grid	Grid	Pollutants	AQMA	Analyser	to relevant	(N/A if not	worst-case
Site Code	Site Name	Site Type	Ref	Ref	Monitored	?	(Y/N)	exposure)	applicable)	exposure?
CA1*	Argyle School	Roadside	X 530210	Y 182762	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (1m)	10m	Y
CA2*	Robert Street	Roadside	X 529133	Y 182695	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (m)	15m	Y
CA3*	Gospel Oak School	Roadside	X 528215	Y 185637	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (2m)	5m	Y
CA4	Euston Road	Roadside	X 530110	Y 182795	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (1m)	5m	Y
CA5 *	Drummond Street	Roadside	X 529395	Y 182567	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (4m)	1m	Y
CA6	Wakefield Gardens	Urban background	X 530430	Y 182430	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (18m)	30m	Y
CA7	Frognal Way	Urban background	X 526213	Y 185519	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (6m)	30m	Y
CA8 *	La Sainte School	Urban background	X 528588	Y 186249	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (m)	25m	Y
CA9 *	63 Gower Street	Roadside	X 529671	Y 181970	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (4m)	2m	Y
CA10	Tavistock Gardens	Urban background	X 529880	Y 182334	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (35m)	25m	Y
CA11	Tottenham Court Road	Kerbside	X 529568	Y 181728	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (4m)	<1m	Y
CA13 *	British Library	Urban background	X 529977	Y 182809	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (3m)	20m	Y
CA14 *	Russell Square	Urban background	X 530120	Y 182034	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (40m)	20m	Y
CA15	Swiss Cottage	Kerbside	X 526633	Y 184392	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	Y	Y (7m)	<1m	Y
CA16	Kentish Town Road	Roadside	X 529013	Y 185102	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (1m)	1m	Y
CA17	47 Fitzjohn's Road	Roadside	X 526547	Y 185125	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (5m)	5m	Y
CA18 *	Gloucester Ave	Kerbside	X 528672	Y 183642	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (4.5m)	<1m	Y
CA19 *	Inverness Street	Roadside	X 528815	Y 183909	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (4m)	15m	Y
CA20	Brill Place	Roadside	X 529914	Y 183147	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (9m)	<5m	Y
CA21	Bloomsbury Street	Roadside	X 529962	Y 181620	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (4m)	<1m	Y
CA22 *	Goodge Street	Roadside	X 529488	Y 181719	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (4m)	<1m	Y
CA23	Camden Road	Roadside	X 529173	Y 184129	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (5m)	<1m	Y
CA24	Chetwynd Road	Roadside	X 528722	Y 185950	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y (2m)	1m	Y
CA25	Emmanuel Primary	Roadside	X 525325	Y 185255	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y	1m	Y
CA26	Mill Lane/West End Lane	Roadside	X 525366	Y 185253	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y		Y	1m	Y

\* Monitoring ceased at these sites at end of 2010.

## 2.2 Comparison of Monitoring Results with AQ Objectives

#### 2.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide

#### Automatic Monitoring Data

The annual NO<sub>2</sub> mean objective was exceeded at all of LB Camden's four automatic monitoring sites in 2011. During this year the period of valid data was less than 90% at Shaftesbury Avenue (due to complications with the equipment) and Euston Road (which was installed on the 29<sup>th</sup> January 2011).

The annual mean concentration of  $NO_2$  at the Euston Road site in 2011 was significant. As this is the first year that monitoring has taken place at this site using an automatic monitoring station it is impossible to benchmark these results, however the annual mean concentration at the Marlyebone Road site, in the City of Westminster, which is located close by, is also very high. Going forward LB Camden will closely monitor the readings from this site.

Table 2.3 below outlines the annual mean concentrations of  $NO_2$  for the last five years and the trends are displayed in Figure 2.3. This shows that the annual mean concentrations of  $NO_2$  reduced slightly at all three monitoring sites from the previous year. This trend is also observed in the number of exceedences of the hourly mean at the three sites, as displayed in Table 2.4 below.

			Valid Data		Annual Mean Concentration μg/m <sup>3</sup>							
Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Capture for period of monitoring % <sup>a</sup>	Valid Data Capture 2011 %	2007* <sup>c</sup>	2008* c	2009* c	2010* c	2011 c			
LB	Urban Background	Y	97	97	61	55	54	55	50			
SC	Kerbside	Y	97	97	77	76	84	82	72			
SA	Roadside	Y	89	89	77	80	88	89	76			
ER	Roadside	Y	88	88	-	-	-	-	122*			

# Table 2.3 Results of Automatic Monitoring of Nitrogen Dioxide: Comparisonwith Annual Mean Objective

\*Calculation included provisional data. Data after 23 Aug 2011 have not been fully ratified.

# Figure 2.3 Trends in the Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Measured at LB Camden's Automatic Monitoring Sites



The hourly objective for  $NO_2$  was breached at both Swiss Cottage and Euston Road monitoring sites in 2011. Table 2.4 below outlines the results for the last five years. The number of hourly exceedences at Euston Road in 2011 was significant. LB Camden will closely monitor the readings from this site.

 Table 2.4 Results of Automatic Monitoring for Nitrogen Dioxide: Comparison

 with 1-hour mean Objective

			Valid Data Capture	Valid	Number of Exceedences of Hourly Mean (200 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )					
Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	for period of monitoring % <sup>a</sup>	Data Capture 2011 %	2007* <sup>c</sup>	2008* c	2009* c	2010* c	2011 c	
LB	Urban Background	Y	97	97	6	0	2	1	0	
SC	Kerbside	Y	97	97	113	70	217	128	79	
SA	Roadside	Y	89	89	22	9	13	21	15	
ER	Roadside	Y	88	88	-	-	-	-	722	

The monitoring site locations are representative of relevant public exposure.

#### **Diffusion Tube Monitoring Data**

NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were measured at sixteen sites in the borough using nitrogen dioxide diffusion tubes. The 2011 results are displayed in Table 2.5 below.

The annual mean concentrations in excess of the 40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> objective are highlighted in bold. The objective was exceeded at all sites other than Frognal Road. The highest diffusion tube NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were measured at the Euston Road (93.12  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) and Tottenham Court Road sites (91.67 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

100% data capture was achieved for all of the sites with the exception of Brill Place, where capture was 75%.

The results have been bias corrected. The full dataset and bias adjustment calculations can be found in Appendix B.

#### Table 2.5 Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes in 2011

			Within	Triplicate or Collocated	Data Capture 2011 Months or	Data with less than 9 months has been annualised	Confirm if data has been distance corrected	Annual mean concentration Bias: 1.01
Site ID	Location	Site Type	AQMA?	Tube	%	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	2011 (μg/m°)
CA4	Euston Road	Roadside	Y		100%	N/A	Ν	93.12
CA6	Wakefield Gdns	Urban background	Y		100%	N/A	N	45.61
CA7	Frognal Way	Urban background	Y		100%	N/A	N	31.46
CA10	Tavistock Gdns	Urban background	Y		100%	N/A	N	47.56
CA11	Tottenham Court Road	Kerbside	Y		100%	N/A	Ν	91.67
CA15	Finchley Rd	Kerbside	Y	Triplicate	100%	N/A	N	73.17
CA16	Kentish Town Rd	Roadside	Y		100%	N/A	Ν	57.19
CA17	47 Fitzjohn's Ave	Roadside	Y		100%	N/A	Ν	58.39
CA20	Brill Place	Roadside	Y		75%	N/A	N	50.79
CA21	Bloomsbury St	Roadside	Y		100%	N/A	Ν	76.73
CA23	Camden Rd	Roadside	Υ		100%	N/A	Ν	72.21
CA24	Chetwynd Rd	Roadside	Υ		100%	N/A	Ν	44.12
CA25	Emmanuel Primary School	Roadside	Y		100%	N/A	N	50.01
CA26	Mill Lane/West End Lane	Roadside	Υ		100%	N/A	Ν	57.12

Table 2.6 displays the results from the Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes from 2007 to 2011. Figure 2.4 presents the trends over the last five years. NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations observed from long term diffusion tube locations show no clear upward or down trend between

2007 and 2011. NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at some sites have increased marginally over the last five years, while others have decreased marginally.

				Annual mean concentrations $(\mu g/m^3)$ 7 (Bias istment or: 1.01)2008 (Bias Adjustment Factor: 1.01)2009 (Bias Adjustment Factor: 1.03)2010 (Bias Adjustment Factor: 0.96)2011(Bias Adjustment Factor: 1.01)50.251.949.950*48.248.249.445*40.442.945.642*91.293.387.18293.1248.146.250.948*49.637.839.43445.6128.730.533.92931.4631.436.435.535*											
Site ID	Site type	Within AQMA	2007 (Bias Adjustment Factor: 1.01)	2008 (Bias Adjustment Factor: 1.01)	2009 (Bias Adjustment Factor: 1.03)	2010 (Bias Adjustment Factor: 0.96)	2011(Bias Adjustment Factor:1.01)								
CA1	Roadside	Y	50.2	51.9	49.9	50	*								
CA2	Roadside	Y	48.2	48.2	49.4	45	*								
CA3	Roadside	Y	40.4	42.9	45.6	42	*								
CA4	Roadside	Y	91.2	93.3	87.1	82	93.12								
CA5	Roadside	Y	48.1	46.2	50.9	48	*								
CA6	Urban background	Y	49.6	37.8	39.4	34	45.61								
CA7	Urban background	Y	28.7	30.5	33.9	29	31.46								
CA8	Urban background	Y	31.4	36.4	35.5	35	*								
CA9	Roadside	Y	94.9	73	82.6	74	*								
CA10	Urban background	Y	46.3	46.8	50.1	52	47.56								
CA11	Kerbside	Y	101.1	84.2	107.7	92	91.67								
CA13	Urban background	Y	54.5	48.7	54.1	47	*								
CA14	Urban background	Y	44.3	43.6	44.5	44	*								
CA15	Kerbside	Y	81.5	68.1	87.5	71	73.17								
CA16	Roadside	Y	66.6	61.8	68.3	74	57.19								
CA17	Roadside	Y	63.6	55.6	62.9	73	58.39								
CA18	Kerbside	Y	53.6	56.7	61.7	63	*								

#### Table 2.6 Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes (2007 to 2011)

## London Borough of Camden

CA19	Roadside	Y	52.6	41.5	45.7	55	*
CA20	Roadside	Y	51.5	49	51.9	54	50.79
CA21	Roadside	Y		76.5	81.3	41	76.73
CA22	Roadside	Y		56.8	60.6	50	*
CA23	Roadside	Y		66.5	73	84	72.21
CA24	Roadside	Y			50	68	44.12
CA25	Roadside	Y					50.01
CA26	Roadside	Y					57.12

\* Sites where monitoring ceased in January 2011

Figure 2.4 Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites and automatic monitoring sites (2000 – 2011)



#### 2.2.2 PM<sub>10</sub>

The concentrations of  $PM_{10}$  recorded in the Borough at Shaftesbury Avenue, Bloomsbury and Swiss Cottage, continue to meet the 2004 objective of less than 40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The annual mean concentrations for the last five years are presented in Table 2.7, while the trends are presented in Figure 2.5. These show that concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> increased marginally at each of the three sites between 2010 – 2011.

The monitoring site locations are representative of relevant public exposure and data have been adjusted to gravimetric equivalent.



Figure 2.5 Trends in Annual Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Concentrations

			Valid Data	Valid	Confirm		Annual Mea	an Concentra	ation μg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Capture for monitoring Period % <sup>a</sup>	Data Capture 2011 % <sup>b</sup>	Gravimetric Equivalent (Y or NA)	2007* <sup>c</sup>	2008* <sup>c</sup>	2009* <sup>c</sup>	2010* <sup>c</sup>	2011 °
LB	Urban Background	Y	97	97	Y	21	23	23	18	22
SC	Kerbside	Y	94	94	Y	24	27	25	26	27
SA	Roadside	Y	92	92	Y	26	30	30	29	31

#### Table 2.7 Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM<sub>10</sub>: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective

The number of exceedences of 24 hour mean over 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> also meet the 2004 objective at LB Camden's three monitoring sites. The number of exceedences increased between 2010 – 2011 at Bloomsbury and Swiss Cottage but reduced slightly at Shaftesbury Avenue.

#### Table 2.8 Results of Automatic Monitoring for PM<sub>10</sub>: Comparison with 24-hour mean Objective

			Valid Data			Number	of Exceeder	nces of 24-H	lour Mean (5	50 μg/m³)
			Capture	Valid	<b>o</b> <i>i</i>					
0.1			for	Data	Confirm					
Site		within	monitoring	Capture	Gravimetric					
ID	Site Type	AQMA?	Period % <sup>a</sup>	2011 % <sup>°</sup>	Equivalent	2007*	2008*	2009*	2010*	2011
IB	Urban	V	07	97	Y	0	13	15	2	17
	Background	1	97			0	15	15	2	17
SC	Kerbside	Y	94	94	Y	24	27	25	26	31
SA	Roadside	Y	92	92	Y	26	30	30	29	24

#### 2.2.3 Sulphur Dioxide

Sulphur dioxide is only monitored at the automatic monitoring site at Bloomsbury. There have been no exceedences of the  $SO_2$  objectives over the past twelve years, as shown in Tables 2.9 and 2.10 below. The trend shows the concentrations of  $SO_2$  continue to fall in the borough, as presented in Figure 2.6.

Year	Data Capture (%)	Exceedences of the 15 minute mean*	Exceedences of the 1hr mean*	Exceedences of the 24hr mean*	Annual mean
1997	94	0	0	0	21
1998	96	0	0	0	19
1999	96	0	0	1	19
2000	97	0	0	0	10
2001	92	0	0	0	11
2002	90	0	0	0	11
2003	94	0	0	0	8
2004	98	0	0	0	15
2005	94	0	0	0	5
2006	95	0	0	0	5
2007	82	0	0	0	5
2008	99	0	0	0	4
2009	99	0	0	0	3
2010	99	0	0	0	3
2011	99	0	0	0	2

Table 2.9: Annual SO<sub>2</sub> monitoring data

			Valid Data	Valid	Num (percer	ber of Exceedentile in bracket	nces µg/m³) <sup>c</sup>
Site		Within	Capture for monitoring	Data Capture	15-minute Obiective	1-hour Obiective	24-hour Obiective
ID	Site Type	AQMA?	Period % <sup>a</sup>	2011 % <sup>b</sup>	(266 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(350 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(125 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
LB	Urban Background	Y	99	99	0	0	0

#### Table 2.10 Results of Automatic Monitoring of SO<sub>2</sub>: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective

#### Figure 2.6 Trends in SO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations



#### 2.2.4 Benzene

Benzene is not currently monitored in the Borough as historic monitoring has revealed benzene levels to be well below the air quality objective for this pollutant.

#### 2.2.5 Other pollutants monitored

#### 2.2.5a Ozone

Ozone levels are only monitored at the automatic monitoring site at Bloomsbury. Concentrations have been gradually rising since monitoring began in 1997. Between 1997 and 2002, annual mean ozone concentrations fluctuated at around  $23\mu g/m^3$ . Over the next six years, annual mean ozone concentrations increased by approximately 5%, peaking in 2003, 2006 and 2008. The highest annual mean ozone levels were measured in 2003, 2006 and 2008 when very sunny and hot weather conditions promoted the formation of ozone. Before 2008, the hourly objective was exceeded twice, once in 2003 and then again in 2006. Since 2008, the hourly objective has been exceeded at least once a year and it was exceeded three times in 2011.

Year	Data Capture (%)	Annual Mean	Exceedences of the 8hr mean
1993	96	18	0
1994	96	22	0
1995	93	20	0
1996	98	18	0
1997	96	20	0
1998	96	20	0
1999	98	24	0
2000	97	22	0
2001	97	24	0
2002	89	22	0
2003	82	30	6
2004	97	24	0
2005	91	23	0
2006	96	29	5
2007	85	24	0
2008	98	28	1
2009	99	26	1
2010	93	23	1
2011	99	27	3

Table 2.11: Annua	I mean o	ozone i	monitoring	data
-------------------	----------	---------	------------	------

#### 2.2.5b Carbon Monoxide

Carbon monoxide is only monitored at the automatic monitoring site at Bloomsbury. The air quality objective for carbon monoxide has been achieved since monitoring began in 1993. Annual mean CO concentrations display a downward trend over the last twelve years with concentrations stabilising to their lowest long term concentration between 2007 and 2011.

Year	Data Capture (%)	Annual Mean	Exceedences of the rolling 8hr mean
1993	82	0.6	0
1994	97	0.6	0
1995	90	0.7	0
1996	94	0.7	0
1997	96	0.7	0
1998	96	0.7	0
1999	85	0.6	0
2000	95	0.6	0
2001	94	0.6	0
2002	88	0.3	0
2003	93	0.4	0
2004	97	0.3	0
2005	92	0.5	0
2006	95	0.4	0
2007	84	0.3	0
2008	99	0.3	0
2009	91	0.3	0
2010	97	0.2	0
2011	99	0.2	0

Table 2.12: Annual Carbon Monoxide monitoring data

#### 2.2.6 Summary of Compliance with AQ Objectives

The London Borough of Camden has examined the results from monitoring in the borough. We continue to meet objectives for all of the pollutants we monitor with the exception of  $NO_2$ .

The concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> continue to be exceeded at all of our automatic monitoring sites and the vast majority of our nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube sites.

As the whole of the borough has been designated an Air Quality Management Area there is no need to carry out a Detailed Assessment at this time. We will therefore proceed to the 2013 Progress Report.

## 3 Road Traffic Sources

### 3.1 Narrow Congested Streets with Residential Properties Close to the Kerb

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no new/newly identified congested streets with a flow above 5,000 vehicles per day and residential properties close to the kerb, that have not been adequately considered in previous rounds of Review and Assessment. The general picture is that traffic volumes are falling, according to traffic count results that are reported in the Annual Parking Reports www.camden.gov.uk/pep.

#### 3.2 Busy Streets Where People May Spend 1-hour or More Close to Traffic

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no new/newly identified busy streets where people may spend 1 hour or more close to traffic.

## 3.3 Roads with a High Flow of Buses and/or HGVs.

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no new/newly identified roads with high flows of buses/HDVs.

## 3.4 Junctions

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no new/newly identified busy junctions/busy roads.

#### 3.5 New Roads Constructed or Proposed Since the Last Round of Review and Assessment

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no new roads. However, in the next few years there will likely be construction of new sections of private highway associated with the King's Cross Lands Development.

## 3.6 Roads with Significantly Changed Traffic Flows

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no new/newly identified roads with significantly changed traffic flows.

## 3.7 Bus and Coach Stations

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no new relevant bus or coach stations in the Local Authority area.

There are several bus termini in Camden, such as South End Green where up to six buses may stand at one time, but there is only one bus station at Euston train station. This is managed by London buses, who keep records of planned movements of buses entering and leaving the station. During a 24-hour period there are approximately 5,000 buses moving in and out of the bus station, which is above the criteria of 2,500 movements in the USA checklist. However, it is very unlikely that people will be exposed to bus emissions over a one-hour period at this station. This is because the nearest offices and green spaces are further away than the recommended exposure threshold of 20 metres from the bus stands. Therefore, it can be concluded that as this will not lead to relevant air quality exceedences, a detailed assessment is not required for this specific source.

# 4 Other Transport Sources

## 4.1 Airports

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no airports in the Local Authority area.

## 4.2 Railways (Diesel and Steam Trains)

#### 4.2.1 Stationary Trains

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no locations where diesel or steam trains are regularly stationary for periods of 15 minutes or more, with potential for relevant exposure within 15m.

#### 4.2.2 Moving Trains

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no locations with a large number of movements of diesel locomotives, and potential long-term relevant exposure within 30m.

## 4.3 **Ports (Shipping)**

London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no ports or shipping that meets the specified criteria within the Local Authority area.

## 5 Industrial Sources

#### 5.1 Industrial Installations

# 5.1.1 New or Proposed Installations for which an Air Quality Assessment has been Carried Out

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no new or proposed industrial installations for which planning approval has been granted within its area or nearby in a neighbouring authority.

#### 5.1.2 Existing Installations where Emissions have Increased Substantially or New Relevant Exposure has been Introduced

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no industrial installations with substantially increased emissions or new relevant exposure in their vicinity within its area or nearby in a neighbouring authority.

#### 5.1.3 New or Significantly Changed Installations with No Previous Air Quality Assessment

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no new or proposed industrial installations for which planning approval has been granted within its area or nearby in a neighbouring authority.

## 5.2 Major Fuel (Petrol) Storage Depots

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no major fuel (petrol) storage depots within the Local Authority area.

## 5.3 Petrol Stations

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are three petrol stations meeting the specified criteria in the borough. These are listed below. All of these stations are equipped with the stage II vapour recovery system, so we do not need to proceed to a detailed assessment.

- BP Hampstead Connect (Harmony) Filling Station 104A Finchley Road, Swiss Cottage, London, NW3 5EY
- Tesco Express Filling Station
   196 Camden Road, London NW1 9HG

 Wm Morrisons Supermarket Petrol Filling Station Chalk Farm Road, London NW1 8AG

## 5.4 Poultry Farms

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no poultry farms meeting the specified criteria.

## 6 Commercial and Domestic Sources

## 6.1 **Biomass Combustion – Individual Installations**

Since the submission of the last Updating and Screening Assessment in 2009 LB Camden has received three planning applications which included biomass combustion. Two of these applications were withdrawn and the third was approved with the omission of the biomass plant.

## 5.2 Biomass Combustion – Combined Impacts

The London Borough of Camden has assessed the biomass combustion plant, and concluded that it will not be necessary to proceed to a Detailed Assessment.

## 5.3 Domestic Solid-Fuel Burning

Information in the LAEI 2006, which is the most current version with actual data, states that there are no particulate matter emissions from domestic coal burning in Camden, which is likely to be because the whole of the borough is a smoke free zone. Smokeless fuel burning is not included as a source in the LAEI, but there may be a few households burning smokeless fuels on open fireplaces (as a secondary source of heating). However, this does not occur on the significant scale as classed in the guidance, posing no risk to exceeding the  $PM_{10}$  objectives.

# 7 Fugitive or Uncontrolled Sources

The London Borough of Camden confirms that there are no potential sources of fugitive particulate matter emissions in the Local Authority area.

# 8 **Conclusions and Proposed Actions**

## 8.1 Conclusions from New Monitoring Data

The concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> continue to exceed short term and long term air quality objectives at all of our automatic monitoring sites and the vast majority of our nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube sites. As a result it would not be appropriate to revoke the AQMA. The air quality objective has been met for all of the other pollutants monitored including  $PM_{10}$ .

## 8.2 Conclusions from Assessment of Sources

There have been no new or significantly changed sources which have the potential to impact on the air quality objectives. The Council is carefully monitoring the number of biomass boilers and Combined Heat and Power plants in the borough and assessing the impact of  $NO_x$  and  $PM_{10}$  emissions to avoid any potential negative impacts on air quality.

## 8.3 Proposed Actions

The concentrations of nitrogen dioxide in the London Borough of Camden continue to exceed the long and short term air quality objectives.

As the whole of the borough has been designated an Air Quality Management Area, since 2001, there is no need to carry out a Detailed Assessment at this time. We will therefore proceed to the 2013 Progress Report.

# 9 References

Local Air Quality Management: Technical Guidance Note, LAQM, TG (09)

## Appendices

# Appendix A: QA:QC Data for LB Camden's Automatic monitoring sites

Euston Road is an LAQN (London Air quality Network) site. Data management/validation and ratification is done by King's College London. The audits are carried out by the National Physical Laboratory. Calibrations are carried out by King's College. SupportingU have the service contract.

Bloomsbury is an AURN (Automatic Urban and Rural Network) site. Data management and validation is carried out by Bureau Veritas. Audits and ratification are carried out by AEA.

Swiss Cottage is an LAQN site which is affiliated to the AURN. Data management and validation are carried out by King's College London and audits and ratification are carried out by AEA. Calibrations are by King's College. SupportingU have the service contract for the NO<sub>2</sub> and EnviroTechnology have the service contract for the two FDMS instruments.

Shaftesbury Avenue is an LAQN site. Data management/validation and ratification is done by King's College. The audits are done by National Physical Laboratory. Calibrations are by King's College. SupportingU have the service contract.

Bloomsbury and Swiss Cottage use FDMS (Filter Dynamic Measurement System) instruments to measure particulate matter which have been shown to be equivalent to the reference method. Shaftesbury Avenue uses a TEOM (Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance) which is a corrected to give reference equivalent measurements using the Volatile Correction Method.

## Appendix B: QA:QC Data for LB Camden's Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube Sites

#### Laboratory and preparation method

Gradko Environmental supplies, prepares (50% TEA and acetone method) and analyses Camden's diffusion tubes. This laboratory participates in the UK National Diffusion Tube Network and the Workplace Analysis Scheme for Efficiency. Gradko currently holds UKAS accreditation for analysis of diffusion tubes and participates in the Health and Safety Laboratory's Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency (WASP).

#### Figure B.1: Gradko's WASP results summary



Figure B.2: Gradko's UKAS Accreditation certificate for analysis of diffusion tubes



#### **Bias adjustment factor**

The diffusion tube results have been bias corrected on the basis of triplicate tubes co-located with a chemi-luminescent analyser at Swiss Cottage. The bias adjustment factor was calculated in accordance with the methodology stated in TG (09). To calculate the bias adjustment factor the Local Bias Adjustment Spreadsheet was used. The results are displayed below.

Cł	Checking Precision and Accuracy of Triplicate Tubes													
			Diffi	ision Tu	bes Mea	surements	5				Automat	tic Method	Data Quali	y Check
Period	Start Date dd/mm/yyyy	End Date dd/mm/yyyy	Tube 1 μgm <sup>-3</sup>	<b>Tube 2</b> μgm <sup>-3</sup>	<b>Tube 3</b> μgm <sup>- 3</sup>	Triplicate Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation (CV)	95% CI of mean		Period Mean	Data Capture (% DC)	Tubes Precision Check	Automatic Monitor Data
1	04/01/2011	04/02/2011	114.4	109.0	70.1	98	24.2	25	60.2		81.09	100	Poor Precisior	Good
2	04/02/2011	02/03/2011	104.9	71.7	75.4	84	18.2	22	45.1		69.85	99	Poor Precision	Good
3	02/03/2011	01/04/2011	73.8	76.4	74.8	75	1.3	2	3.2		83.73	99	Good	Good
4	01/04/2011	04/05/2011	70.2	76.4	74.8	74	3.2	4	8.0		90.64	100	Good	Good
5	04/05/2011	30/05/2011	61.0	59.9	61.2	61	0.7	1	1.7		62.83	91	Good	Good
6	30/05/2011	30/06/2011	64.8	69.7	62.4	66	3.7	6	9.3		58.77	98	Good	Good
7	30/06/2011	05/08/2011	90.7	93.4	83.9	89	4.9	5	12.2		70.42	99	Good	Good
8	8 05/08/2011 01/09/2011 51.3 55.5 52.3 53 2.2 4 5.4 55.67 100												Good	Good
э	9 <mark>01/09/2011 28/09/2011 74.0 66.3 63.7</mark> 68 5.3 8 13.3 <mark>59.33</mark>											100	Good	Good
10	28/09/2011	04/11/2011	68.6	67.4	70.5	69	1.6	2	3.9		73.51	100	Good	Good
11	04/11/2011	29/11/2011	82.0	88.9	70.2	80	9.5	12	23.5		84.64	100	Good	Good
12	29/11/2011	04/01/2012	51.2	56.8	54.1	54	2.8	5	7.0		55.58	88	Good	Good
13														
lt is	necessary to	have results	for at lea	st two tu	bes in oro	ier to calcul	ate the prec	ision of the me	easurement	is	Overa	l survey>	Good precision	Good Overall DC
Sit	e Name/ID:						Precision	10 out of 12	2 periods h	ave a C	¥ smaller	than 20%	(Check average)	CV & DC from
		(and the	05%	5				(	0.5%				Accuracy cal	culations)
	Accuracy	(with	95% con	ndence	nterval)		Accuracy	(WITH	95% conn	dence	interval)			
	without pe	riods with C	v larger	than 20	/o		WITHALL	DATA				50%		
	Bias calcula	ated using 1	0 period	s of data			Bias calcu	lated using 1	2 periods	of dat	a	1 1 25%		
	В	ias factor A	1.01	(0.92 - '	1.12)		6	Bias factor A	0.97 (	0.89 -	1.07)	a l	-	т
		Bias B	-1%	(-11% -	9%)			Bias B	3%	(-7% - 1	2%)	Ē <u>a</u> °×	<b>↓</b> • •	
	Diffusion Tubes Mean: 69 ugm <sup>3</sup> Diffusion Tubes Mean: 73 ugm <sup>3</sup> 5 Without UV.202 With all data													
	Mean CV	(Precision):	5				Mean CV	(Precision):	8			J 3 -254		
	Autor	natic Mean	70	uam <sup>-3</sup>			Auto	matic Mean	71	uam <sup>-3</sup>		50%		
	Data Capti	Jre for pe <u>rio</u>	ds use <u>d:</u>	98%			Data Cap	ture for perio	ds used:	98%				
	Adjusted T	ubes Mean:	70 (6	3 - 77)	µgm <sup>-3</sup>		Adjusted	Tubes Mean:	70 (65	- 78)	µgm- <sup>3</sup>		Jaume Tarç	ja, for AEA
												Ver	sion 04 - Febi	ruary 2011

#### Figure B.3: Local Bias Adjustment Spreadsheet

LAQM USA 2012

Site ID	Location	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
CA4	Euston Road	70.73	94.95	90.57	92.58	96.99	85.7	134.17	74.09	99.92	99.68	110.32	56.65
CA6	Wakefield Gdns	47.59	44.19	42.38	34.15	33.95	30.67	29.76	79.62	35.55	79.74	43.48	40.88
CA7	Frognal Way	36.4	35.62	34.93	28.87	22.69	24.31	23.67	22.28	30.37	35.17	43.27	36.15
CA10	Tavistock Gdns	50.15	49.72	55.21		45.19	42.26	43.25	39.16	42.47	51.93	52.44	46.24
CA11	Tottenham Court Road	105.35	117.64	39.09	98.04	110.91	86.03	103.68	80.36	86.47	82.12	95.13	84.38
CA15	Finchley Rd	114.44	104.87	73.83	70.15	60.95	64.75	90.65	51.3	73.98	68.57	82.02	51.15
CA15	Finchley Rd	109.04	71.71	76.36	75.64	59.89	69.7	93.39	55.45	66.34	67.39	88.89	56.76
CA15	Finchley Rd	70.05	75.42	74.83	72.44	61.17	62.38	83.88	52.26	63.7	70.49	70.2	54.08
CA16	Kentish Town Rd	50.55	34.47	72.45	71.38	60.14	60.41	72.48	48.57	54.41	68.61	36.42	49.57
CA17	47 Fitzjohn's Ave	65.17	58.92	56.66	59.31	56.72	52.17	60.45	42.77	51.35	65.86	69.75	54.66
CA20	Brill Place	51.42	56.49	30.65	59.53	36.91		47.56		50.97	53.13	65.91	
CA21	Bloomsbury St	60.72	87.26	86.5	101.13	77.26	74.99	94.83	61.29	60.39	72.91	84.06	50.26
CA23	Camden Rd	71.05	75.79	81.93	60.4	72.16	71.29	71.59	60.05	71.52	66.17	105.63	50.37
CA24	Chetwynd Rd	51.5	46.25	48.16	46.14	42.61	38.78	40.98	35.63	40.6	43.66	56.51	33.38
CA25	Emmanuel Primary School	60.75	57.39	56.66	50.99	44.38	42.96	47.73	34.74	42.17	47.65	66.69	42.08
CA26	Mill Lane/West End Lane	61.7	70.35	63.75	60.14	60.38	54.11	57.88	47.66	54.62	59.68	41.2	47.14

#### Table B.1 LB Camden Diffusion Tube Monitoring Site 2011 Full Dataset